

<u>T. leiri</u>, Y. Funakoshi, T. Kawamoto, M. Masuzawa and M. Tobiyama

MEASUREMENT OF BEAM-BEAM EFFECTS

Outline

- Introduction
- Beam–Beam Effects
- Measurement Method
- Measurement of
 - Beam–Beam Kick with Horizontal Scan
 - Beam–Beam Tune Shift
 - Dynamic Beta
- Analysis of Results
- Summary

Motivation

- *"Egure"* phenomena
- Effect of electron cloud
- Dynamic beam-beam effects
- Beam diagnostics with collision

Machine Parameters

Parameter	LER	HER	
Beam Energy, E	3.5	8.0	GeV
Horizontal Emittance, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{x0}$	18	24	nm
Betatron Tune, v_{x0}/v_{y0}	45.506/43.54	44.512/41.58	
Betas at IP, β_x^* / β_y^*	59/0.52	56/0.65	cm
Momentum Compaction, α	3.4	3.4	x 10 ⁻⁴
Bunch Length, σ_l	6.5 to 8.0	6.5 to 7.0	mm
Synchrotron Tune, v_s	0.025	0.022	
Particles per Bunch, N_b	3.7 to 7.1	3.1 to 5.1	x 10 ¹⁰
Bunch Spacing, S _b	1.8 to	m	

Dynamic Effects

dynamic

.512

.523

2

 Beam parameters change with beam intensity and size, depending on betatron tune.



Beam-Beam Kick

• Collision with a position offset at IP distorts an orbit around the ring due to beam-beam kick.

A position shift at a detector is:

$$\Delta X_{\text{det.}} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{\text{det.}}\beta_x^*}}{2\sin(\pi\nu)}\theta_{b-b}\cos(\pi\nu - |\Delta\varphi_{\text{d}}|)$$

• Beam-beam kick depends on effective beam size, Σ_x .

$$\Sigma_x = \sqrt{\left(\sigma_x^+\right)^2 + \left(\sigma_x^-\right)^2}$$



Beam-Beam Tune Shift



•Coherent Beam-Beam Parameter

Beam-Beam Tune Shift

$$\Xi_{q}^{\pm} = \frac{N_{\mp}r_{e}}{\gamma_{\pm}} \frac{\beta_{q}^{0\pm}}{2\pi\Sigma_{q}(\Sigma_{x}+\Sigma_{y})} \qquad \Delta v_{bb} \equiv v_{H} + v_{L} - v_{0}^{\pm} - v_{0}^{\pm}$$
$$\xi_{q} \approx \frac{\kappa(v_{0}^{\pm}, v_{0}^{\pm})}{Y} \Delta v_{bb} \qquad Y: \text{ Yokoya Factor}$$

Measurement Method

Comparing beam parameters of colliding bunches with those of a non-colliding pilot bunch



Advantage

- Measurement is not affected by an orbit correction.
- Monitors are not required to install near IP.
- Imbalance in gains of a detector is cancelled out due to subtraction.

- An effect of the wake may be compensated by considering the measurement in a single beam.

Disadvantage

- Not simultaneous measurement.
- Difference in the intensity of bunches may make an error.

Gated Beam Position Monitor

•Two detectors are installed in each ring .

Optics Parameter at Detector w/o Beam-Beam Effect

	LER-1	LER-2	HER-1	HER-2
	BPM_098	BPM_104	BPM_097	BPM_100
Nx	9.34	9.77	35.35	35.12
Bx(m)	39.29	73.14	21.14	31.89
Sensitivity_x	-0.64	1.00	0.45	0.42
Ny	9.03	9.79	32.83	32.59
By(m)	13.35	5.94	12.56	15.98

Reproducibility of Measured Position



•Resolution is about 10 μ m in position and 0.05 deg in phase.



Detected at LER-2 on Dec. 11, 2003

Measurement with Horizontal Scan

Scanning Conditions

Scan No.	Bunch Spacing S _b (bucket)	Bunch Current I _b +/I _b -(mA)	Number of Bunches	Tune v_{x0}^+/v_{x0}^-	Memo
1	3.77	0.43/0.29	1289	0.511/0.514	Low Current
2	3.77	0.78/0.67	1289	0.511/0.512	High Current
3	24	0.62/0.52	203	0.510/0.508	Wide Spacing



Scan-2/ high current, normal spacing





•Luminosity curve is almost symmetrical.

Why is the luminosity asymmetrical in the horizontal scan ?



- The tail part of a positron bunch is vertically unstable due to e⁻ cloud.
- For the sake of a finite crossing angle, longitudinal colliding position shifts, depending on the horizontal offset.
- The electron beam may stimulate the electron cloud in offset (c).
- The vertical size of the positron beam increases due to synchro-beta coupling.
- Therefore, the luminosity reduces in (c).

Position Shift and Beam-Beam Kick

• Position shift depends on the beta function, the beta changes due to dynamic effects.



But,
$$\sqrt{eta_{D11-2}eta^*}$$
 is almost constant.



 We can obtain beam-beam kick from position shift.

Dynamic Horizontal Beam Size at IP

• Intensity normalized beam-beam kick curves with different current



Beam size reduces as the current increases.

Effective size w/o dynamic effect : Σ_{x0} = 155 μm

Ratio of Beta Function for Two Locations

• A ratio of position shift for two locations provides a beta function ratio there, regardless of beam-beam kick.

$$\frac{\Delta X_2}{\Delta X_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}} \cdot \frac{\cos(\pi \nu - |\Delta \varphi_2|)}{\cos(\pi \nu - |\Delta \varphi_1|)}$$



• A measured ratio is different from calculation.

Dynamic Emittance



• Sum emittance is obtained from beam-beam tune shift

$$\varepsilon_x^+ + \varepsilon_x^- = \frac{r_e}{2\pi} \cdot \left(\frac{N^+}{\gamma^-} + \frac{N^-}{\gamma^+}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\Xi_x^+ + \Xi_x^-}$$

Normalized Intensity

 Sum emittance measured agrees with calculation using H-mode tune, not L-mode tune.

Summary

- Collision at KEKB is performed with a horizontal offset to avoid the "Egure".
- The "Egure" phenomena should be caused by electron cloud.
- Horizontal beam size at IP agrees with expectation.
- A measured ratio of beta function for two locations is different from calculation.
- Dynamic emittance agrees with calculation using *H*-mode tune.
- The presence of dynamic effects was demonstrated by these experiments.