Tungsten mono-crystalline target for a high-intensity positron source

#### T.Suwada (tsuyoshi.suwada@kek.jp) Accelerator Laboratory, KEK

## Motivation

- High-intensity positron sources are required for future *linear colliders* and *B-factories*.
- Conventional methods using amorphous heavy metals limit to increase the intensity of primary electron beams due to the heat load on the target.
- New method using a *mono-crystalline target* for positron production is expected to be one of the bright schemes for high-intensity *e*<sup>+</sup> sources.

# Introduction

• New method utilizing a crystal target was proposed by Chehab, *et al.* in 1989.

(R. Chehab, et al., PAC'89, Chicago, IL, USA, Mar. 1989, p.283)

• Yoshida, *et al.*, demonstrated a clear enhancement of the *e+* yield in a tungsten crystal target using a 1.2-GeV electron beam of INS/Tokyo.

(K. Yoshida, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1437, 1988)

- However, any theoretical studies taking into account both processes of *Channeling Radiation (CR)* and *Coherent Bremsstrahlung (CB)* has not yet been established on the simulation.
- More experimental data are expected to clearly understand the physical interaction processes of the *CR* and *CB*.

## Channeling Radiation & Coherent Bremsstrahlung Processes



#### **New Positron Production Schemes**





#### **Experimental Setup**



#### Linac Beam Line at the 3rd switch yard



## **Experimental Setup (cont'd):Positron spectrometer**



#### Acceptance of the Positron Spectrometer

$P_{e^+}$	Acceptance $(\Delta P \Delta \Omega)$		
$\left(\mathrm{MeV}/\mathrm{c}\right)$	$(10^{-4}{\times}({\rm MeV/c}){\cdot}{\rm sr})$		
5	$1.08 \pm 0.03$		
10	$2.47 \pm 0.07$		
15	$3.80 \pm 0.01$		
20	$4.81 \pm 0.12$		

- The acceptance (ΔP Δ Ω) was obtained by using the simulation code (GEANT3).
- Typical acceptance Momentum:  $\Delta P/P=2.4\%$  (FWHM)& Geometrical:  $\Delta \Omega=1msr$ at P<sub>e+</sub>=20MeV/c.

### **Experimental Condition**

Electron Beam: S-band single bunch

- Beam Energy = 4 (8) GeV
- Angular Spread ~123(23) µrad (H), ~121(41) µrad (V)
- Transverse Beam Size 1 ~1.5mm (FWHM) in diameter
- Beam Charge = 0.2 nC/bunch
- Bunch Length (Single Bunch) ~10 ps (FWHM)
- Beam Repetition = 25(2)Hz

Angular Spread of the Electron Beam at the Positron Target

Φ ~ 0.2(0.1) mrad < Φc (due to multiple scattering by a vacuum window(100µm-thick SUS))</li>

Critical Angle for the Channeling Condition at the Positron Target

•  $\Phi c \sim 0.61(0.43) \text{ mrad } @4 \text{ and } 8 \text{ GeV} (Linhard Angle)$ 

### **Experimental Condition (cont.)**

**Positron-Production Targets:** 

- Crystal Tungsten Target : 2.2, 5.3 and 9mm thickness
- Amorphous Tungsten Target : 2-28mm thick (for the *e*<sup>+</sup> production yield calibration)
- Amorphous Tungsten Target: 3-18mm (3mm step) thickness (for the purpose of hybrid targets)

#### **Detected Momentum Range**

• 5 MeV/c  $\leq Pe^+ \leq 20$  MeV/c

#### **Positron Detectors**

•Lead-Glass Calorimeter:Measurement of total energy of e+

•Acrylic Cherenkov Counter:Measurement of number of e+ Beam Monitors

- •*Wall-current monitor* for the electron beam-charge measurement
- •Screen monitor for the beam-profile measurement

#### Experimental Results: Rocking Curves (Crystal Axis <111>) at Ee=4 and 8 GeV (Pe+=20MeV/c)



**Experimental Results:** Variations in the width of the rocking-curve peak for Ee-=4 and 8 GeV (Pe+=20MeV/c)



#### Experimental Results: Variations in the enhancement ( $N_{e+@peak}/N_{e+@base}$ ) of the e+ yield at Ee=4 and 8 GeV (Pe+=20MeV/c)



#### **Experimental Results: Momentum dependence of the positron-yield enhancement**

#### Table 2

Momentum dependence of the positron-yield enhancement for the crystal targets. () are the simulation results by Baier and Strakhovenko [13]

$P_{e^+}$		$E_{e^-}{=}4~{\rm GeV}$			$E_{e^-}{=}8~{\rm GeV}$	
$({\rm MeV/c})$	$2.2\mathrm{mm}~W_{\pmb{c}}$	5.3mm $W_{\boldsymbol{c}}$	9.0mm $W_{\pmb{c}}$	$2.2\mathrm{mm}~W_{c}$	5.3mm $W_{\boldsymbol{c}}$	9.0mm $W_{\pmb{c}}$
5	$3.3\pm0.1$	$2.2\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$5.0\pm1.5$	$2.9\pm0.5$	$2.1\pm0.3$
10	$3.6\pm0.3$	$2.3\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$6.5\pm0.6$	$3.4\pm0.7$	$2.3\pm0.4$
				$(6.0\pm0.5)$	$(3.2\pm0.3)$	$(2.1\pm0.2)$
15	$3.5\pm0.1$	$2.2\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm0.3$	$6.2\pm0.8$	$3.2 \pm 0.5$	$2.0\pm0.2$
				$(5.5\pm0.3)$	$(3.2\pm0.2)$	$(2.0\pm0.1)$
20	$3.7\pm0.1$	$2.2\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.1$	$5.1\pm0.5$	$3.0\pm0.5$	$1.8\pm0.2$
				$(5.4\pm0.2)$	$(2.9\pm0.1)$	$(1.8\pm0.1)$



Suwada, et al., Phys.Rev.E 67, 016502 (2003) Feb. 21-23, 2005

16

Experimental Results: e+ production efficiencies for the crystal and combined targets at Ee-=4 and 8 GeV (Pe+=20MeV/c)



# **Conclusions**

#### **A** Rocking curves

- The obtained widths of the rocking-curve peak is much larger than the critical angle, and broaden with the thickness of the crystal target.
- The broad widths of the rocking curves indicate that the CB process may be predominant over CR process in this energy region.
- The increase of the peak width depending on the target thickness may come from the multiple scattering of the incident electrons in the target.
- ♠ Enhancement of the e<sup>+</sup> yield @Pe+=20MeV/c
- 4GeV *En*=3.7 ±0.1 (2.2mm), 2.2 ±0.1 (5.3mm), 1.5 ±0.1 (9mm)
- 8GeV *En*=5.1 ±0.5 (2.2mm), 3.0 ±0.5 (5.3 mm), 1.8 ±0.2 (9mm)

A Positron production efficiency for the crystal targets

- The absolute e+ yields were enhanced by ~26% with Pe+=20MeV/c by 15 and 18% on the average with the momentum range of 5-20MeV/c at Ee-=4 and 8GeV, respectively, compared with the maximum e+ yield obtained for the amorphous target.
- We have a new plan to install a tungsten mono-crystalline target at the present e+ source in this summer.