KEKB Status since Feb. 2005

Y. Funakoshi March 21, 2006 @ KEKB Review

KEKB Performance

KEKB History



KEKB Performance Feb. 2005 - Mar. 2006



Luminosity Performance

• Both peak and integrated luminosities have been improved.

 $L_{peak} = 15.16 \rightarrow 16.27/nb/s$ \$\frac{L}{day}, /7days, /30days

= 1083/6242/23995 → 1183/7358/29018 /pb

- Annual integrated luminosity $158.9(2004) \rightarrow 188.7(2005)/fb/year$
- Total luminosity $363.4 \rightarrow 563.3/fb$



The Best Day 1182.5/pb



History of Daily Luminosity



History of Weekly Luminosity



Machine parameters

Date	2/15/2005		12/19/2005		
Ring	LER	HER		LER	HER
Horizontal Emittance	18	24	18	24	nm
Beam current	1636	1261		1719 [·]	347
Number of bunches	1293		1388		
Bunch current	1.27	0.975		1.23	0.970
Bunch spacing	1.8 or 2.4 (3.77sp)		1.8 or 2.4 (3.5sp)		m
Bunch trains	1		1		
Horizontal size at IP $\sigma^*_{_{x}}$	103	116		103	116
Vertical size at IP σ_{y}^{*}	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	μm
Betatron tune v_x/v_y	45.506/43.535	44.510/41.577	45.506/43.531 4	4.512/41.578	
$\beta_{x}^{*}/\beta_{y}^{*}$	59/0.54	56/0.62	59/0.65	56/0.62	cm
Beam-beam parameters					
ξ _x /ξ _v	0.118/0.081	0.074/0.056	0.117/0.096	0.073/0.055	
Beam lifetime at collision 16	0 @1503 mA 19	5@1132 mA 🦷	135@1719mA 22	22@1347mA m	in
Luminosity (Belle CsI)	15.16		16.27	/nb/s	
Luminosity records		(total)			
per day/7 days/30 days	1083/6242/23995	5 (363.4/fb)	1183/7358/29018	(560/fb) /pb	

Machine Parameters (cont'd)

- Bunch fill pattern/bunch spacing
 - 3.77 (49/13) (April 2005~): physics run
 - 3.5 (49/14) (~April 2005): physics run
 - 3.27 (49/13) Test run in June 2005
 - 3.06 (49/16) Test run in Dec. 2005
- Stored currents
 - LER ~ $1674 \rightarrow \sim <1750 \text{ mA}$
 - ~ 2000mA (short-term trial)
 - HER $1270 \rightarrow 1350$ mA world's highest with SCC
- RF Voltage
 - LER 8 MV
 - HER 15 MV

Progress of Specific Luminosity



Methods for higher luminosity

Methods for higher luminosity

Items		Limitations or Methods			
Peak Luminosity		HER	Available RF power		
	Higher beem currents		Tolerance of vacuum components		
	Higher beam currents	LER	Effects of electron clouds		
			Tolerance of vacuum components		
	Crab cavities	-> in preparation		in preparation	
		Tune		Dynamic aperture	
	Search for better machine	$IP \beta_{x,y}$		Hourglass effect	
		FB Gain			
	Reduction of downtime due to troubles	-> Show statistics			
Integrated Luminosity	Reduction of beam aborts	-> Show statistics			
	Luminosity recovery after breaks	Pursuit for effective tuning procedure			
	Shortening of injection time	Electron 2 bunch injection			
	Other operation stabilities	Countermeasure against day-night effects			

Higher beam currents

- Request from vacuum group
 - When we increase the beam currents, it is strongly requested to increase the number of bunches to protect vacuum components against high HOM power.
 - At KEKB, the increases of the beam currents and the number of bunches are a set of procedures.
- Trial
 - 3.77 -> 3.5
 - Luminosity increased.
 - 3.5 -> 3.27
 - Luminosity decreased.
 - 3.5 -> 3.06
 - LER high current trial. No increase of luminosity.



Comparison of Specific Luminosity



Fill pattern

- Constraint from 2 bunch injection
 - The same fill pattern must be repeated every 49 RF buckets.



- Fill pattern
 - 3.77 (49/13)spacing (444443443443): Physics Run (~April 2005)
 - 3.5 (49/14)spacing (34343434343434): Physics Run (April 2005~)
 - 3.27 (49/15)spacing(33343334334334): Test pattern
 - 3.06 (49/16)spacing(3333334333333333): Test pattern

Beam current dependence of luminosity (HER)

\mathcal{L} vs HER beam current LER : 1800 mA (fixed) HER : 1300 \rightarrow 1000 \rightarrow 1300 mA



Beam current dependence of luminosity (LER)

\mathcal{L} vs. LER beam current LER : 1800 \rightarrow 1500 \rightarrow 1800 mA HER : ~1300 mA (fixed)



Single beam: current dependence of beam size(LER)



J. Flanagan



Base fill pattern: 3.27 spacing









• Almost all parts of the drift space have been covered with solenoids.

•In summer 2005, we installed solenoids in 88 quadruple magnets out of 461.

•However, the effectiveness of the solenoids was not observed. (-> Fukuma's talk)

Specific Luminosity vs FB Gain



FB gain of the LER vertical affects the specific luminosity. The other gains (LER H, HER H/V) bring no effects.

Methods of luminosity tuning after regular maintenance

- Magnet standardization (~30min.)
- Optics Correction (~2h)
 - Global x-y coupling
 - Global dispersions
 - Beta beat
- Collision tuning (~30min.)
 - Orbit FB parameters
- Fine tuning(knob tuning) (always during physics run)
 - IP Knobs
 - Tunes
 - SX strength



Recovery of luminosity

- After a short break such as a regular maintenance
 - Optics corrections are important.
 - Knob tunings are also important.
 - However, the best performance is not always reproduced by the above tunings.
 - It seems that there are some unknown sources which affect the luminosity.
 - It is critically important to identify such sources, if there are any.
 - Candidate: mechanical position shift of BPMs due to heating by SR.
- After a long shutdown
 - It takes very long time to recover the best performance before the shutdown.
 - We feel that the recovery time became longer with higher specific luminosity.
 - Usually we don't proceed to other subjects before the recovery of the best performance.

Peak Luminosity

- Beam-Beam Blowup
 - Tune
 - Single beam emittance
 - IP Optical parameters
 - IP knobs (14 parameters)
 - Waist
 - X-Y coupling parameters
 - Vertical dispersion
 - Chromatic parameters
 - Linear chromaticity etc.
 - Feedback Gain
- IP β -functions
- Effects of electron clouds
- Beam Currents

Knob! 1

Tuning in a typical shift



The knob tuning is always done during the physics run by operators and/or shift crews.

Knob!2

LER LER SizeFB(Inj) : 4.2 -> 3.9@1.6A vx @0A : .519 -> .515 vy @0A : .5566 -> .5551 ξx : 1.732 -> 1.732 ξγ: 2.695 -> 2.695 $d\alpha *_{\mathbf{x}} / d\delta$: -30.37 -> -30.37 $d\alpha *_{v} / d\delta$: 3.28 -> 3.28 $(d\beta_{*}/d\delta) / \beta_{*}$: -2.55 -> -2.55 $(d\beta *_v/d\delta) / \beta *_v$: 33.7 -> 33.7







X-Aborts (Frequent beam aborts due to mysterious beam loss in LER)



- ·Frequent beam aborts
 - ·Typically 15 aborts/week
 - ·Lost more than 1 shift every week!!
- ·LER Loss Monitor or Belle SVD Abort
- $\cdot \textsc{Beam}$ loss of LER is observed.
- •Change of beam phase is observed.
 - ·Energy loss side
- ·No transverse oscillation
- $\cdot No$ vacuum pressure change at the beginning
- \cdot We found anomalous behavior of a bellow (temperature and vacuum pressure).

 \cdot The beam aborts disappeared after replacing the bellows.





Broken bellows

We experienced this kind of troubles twice with different bellows (March and May 2005).











FY 2004

FY 2005

	FY 2004	FY 2005
Total operation Time [h]	5608	4527.5
Trouble Total except Belle [h]	255	258.5
Trouble Rate [%]	4.5	5.7

0 A	1 FY2004	2 FY 2005	
Operation Time [h]	5608.0	4527.5	
Trouble Total [h]	287.00	717.50	
Vacuum	55.000	54.000	
Magnet	75.500	54.000	← QCS system is included
BT	8.5000	6.5000	
RF	61.500	48.000	
Safety	2.0000	0.0000	
Facility	1.0000	1.0000	
Control	7.5000	38.500	
Beam Monitor	24.500	9.0000	← FB is included
Belle	32.000	459.00	
Linac	1.0000	0.0000	
Cryogenic	0.0000	39.000	
Others	18.000	8.5000	

More detailed information:

http://accmac-server.kek.jp:8080/kekb/commissioning/statistics/Statistics/Statistics.html



Installation of thermal insulator sheets

2005/04/22















Summary and Plans

Electron cloud

- Electron cloud effects still restrict severely the KEKB performance.
- It is important to find where the e clouds exit and how we can remove them.
- In this year,
 - We will buy 16 power supplies of 5A which replace the existing 3A power supplies for the solenoids in the straight sections.

Recovery of luminosity performance after breaks

• To avoid losses of the integrated luminosity and keep the opportunities to go for the other subjects, it is important to identify unknown sources which affect the luminosity.

Summary and Plans (cont'd)

Higher luminosity

- Optimization of machine parameters
 - Optimize $\beta_{x/y}^*$ in both rings
 - Better choice of tunes and chromaticity corrections
 - Larger dynamic aperture
 - Weaken the effect of the synchro-betatron resonance
- Higher HER beam currents
 - There remains some room to improve the luminosity with higher HER current and larger number of bunches.

We will install crab cavities in both rings in summer 2006.

• Achieve $\xi_v > .1$ with crab cavities



Spare slides

Electron cloud density simulation



K. Ohmi



Recent progress

BPM consistency

•3 electrodes -> beam position
-> 4 sets of beam position
•BPM consistency

•RMS of 4 beam position data



- Recent observations
 - The BPM consistency also shows day-night difference.
 - A part of BPM cables go through the outside of buildings and is affected by the temperature change.
 - Orbit corrections based on inaccurate BPM readings (or changing offset) bring the optics deformation and may result in the luminosity degradation.
- Countermeasure
 - Installation of thermal insulator sheets for the BPM cables in the outside.
 - The BPM consistency error was reduced by 30 or 50 %.
 - The day-night difference in the luminosity almost disappeared.





Tuning!Items

- Optics Correction
 - 全周にわたってLER dispersion が劣化していた。
 - 衝突点付近のLER XY coupling が劣化していた。
 - ・ LER βy*少し大きめであった(+ 7 %) XYcoupling



Day-night effect

- The KEKB luminosity degrades in the daytime by about 20% at the worst.
- The luminosity degradation seems to depend on temperature difference between day and night.
- The effect is not remarkable in winter or on a rainy day.
- When the luminosity degrades, the HER beam blowup is observed.
- Tuning on the x-y coupling parameters at IP is somewhat effective to mitigate the degradation, although its effectiveness is insufficient.

Effectiveness of thermal insulator sheets

QF4P.26



Time

HER D03 BPM consistency



HER D06 BPM consistency



Upgrade plan (short term)

 Before the summer shutdown •Target beam currents •HER: 1400mA (We need to fix the D4C problem.) •LER: 2000mA Target peak luminosity •17 /nb/s •During the next summer shutdown (2005) Install one more RF station for ARES cavity (a klystron, low level) control ...) in HER (to be named as D5E) •Increase of the HER beam current by about 150mA •One RF station costs about 2 Oku-yen. Movable masks in LER: Cu head -> Ti head Solenoids for electron cloud •Upgrade the 50 power supplies for solenoid coils (3A -> 5A) •Test for winding thin coils inside quadrupole magnets •Crab cavity •A crab cavity for the purpose of raising the beam-beam parameters is scheduled to be installed in each ring at the beginning of 2006.

D10!Chicane!bellows temperature

