Construction of the Interaction Region



The 18th KEKB Accelerator Review Committee KEK, 4-6 March 2013 K. Kanazawa

for



KEKB Vacuum Group IR Technical Meeting Member IR Installation Meeting Member SVD/IR Mechanics Meeting Member



Contents

- Sketch of the interaction region
- Mile-stones and task schedule
- Bridge reinforcement
- Installation scenario
- Vacuum around IR
- IP chamber
- Radiation shield
- Summary

Sketch of the Interaction Region Phase 2



Sketch of the Interaction Region Phase 1



Mile-stone and Task Schedule

	date	Belle rotation	QCS	Special magnets	Bridge reinforce ment	QCS support and Moving stage	IP chamber	Ta beam pipe for QCS	Vacuum system	Installatio n scenario	Back ground estimation and Radiation shield	LABM	Luminosit y monitor
		Belle	MG(Cryo)	MG	MG	MG	Belle/VAC	VAC/MG(C ryo)	VAC	Belle/VAC	Belle/RSC/ Acc	BM	Belle/Acc
Now	- Mar 2013	Complete first stage	QCSL under fabrication		Decision							Fix position	
	Apr 2013 - Feb 2014		QCSR fabrication start QCSL complete		(Must end before Oct 2013)	Bridge work L side complete	Ver. 1 final fabrication			Select scenario			
QCSL test at IR	Mar 2014 - Aug 2014		In situ test of QCSL			Mechanica l test (L side)							
	Sep 2014 - Dec 2014			Installatio n					complete		Phase 1 shield complete	Set up complete	
Phase 1	Jan 2015 - May 2015		QCSR complete?					complete		Preparatio n complete		System test	
	Jun 2015 - Dec 2015	Final adjust	QCS installatio n							1 st test	Phase 2 shield complete		
Phase 2	Jan 2016 - Apr 2016						Beast Feedback to var. 2 ?			Improvem ent			
	May 2016 - Sep 2016										additional		
Phase 3	Oct 2016												

Bridge Reinforcement



•The end of the bridge where QCS lies on is mechanically weak. For example the vertical oscillation of the place with 65 Hz is one of the lowest modes of the bridge oscillation.

•The measured amplitudes during KEKB are tolerable if QC1E and QC1P oscillate with the same phase (this is the most probable case).

•However. if a simple and effective way of reinforcement of this part is available, it should be done.

•The chance of the work is quite limited. The decision should be done as soon as possible.

Installation Scenario Basic conditions



Installation Scenario - Baseline



T. Kohriki

Installation Scenario

Alternative Scenario with Remote vacuum seal mechanism.

•Some people feel uneasy on the situation that VXD unit is pushed by QCS in the baseline scenario.

•They proposed an alternative scenario to slide VXD with manual force and a remote vacuum seal mechanism.

•The mechanism is not yet valid with a mock-up test.

•However the choice of the installation scenario has various impacts on detailed designs of VXD and surrounding components.

•The decision on the choice cannot be delayed so long.



Vacuum around IR

Layout of vacuum components near QCS in the R side

The beam pipe in the cryostat is made of Ta (red part in the figure). The maximum thickness of this pipe is 4 mm.

Pumps are located outside the cryostat.



Vacuum around IR Typical cross section of Ta beam pipe

21



Vertically elongated aperture in QC1P (Larger aperture is preferable but it is not available in the

present design.)

BPM

Vacuum around IR Layout of vacuum components near QCS in the L side



Vacuum around IR Rough estimation of pressure near IP



Example: Incoming positron line Assumptions:

•The equation for a long tube gives good estimation for a short tube

•At IP pressure is maximum

• P_0 is determined by the total outgassing of the cryostat beam pipe and the pumping speed there (50 l/s).

•The thermal outgassing rate is 1×10^{-11} Torr*l*/s/cm² (1.33 × 10⁻⁸ Pa m³/s/m²).

Thermal only $P_0 = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa $P_{IP} = 8 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa Photon - desorption dominant $P_0 = 2 \times \eta$ Pa $P_{IP} = 11 \times \eta$ Pa η : photo - desorption coefficient

The difference between P_0 and $P_{\rm IP}$ is determined by the local outgassing and the conductance of the beam pipe. It doesn't depend on the pumping speed outside the cryostat.

Vacuum around IR Test Ta beam pipe



A test Ta beam pipe with cooling channels, a taper, and conflat flanges was fabricated.

Ta pipe model for QCS with cooling path in the wall. This pipe has a weld seam between water and vacuum. The test with tap water caused no leakage at least for months.





Thermal outgassing of the Ta pipe. 'Very low' (H. Hisamatsu)

Vacuum around IR Photon-induced desorption of Ta, Au, and Cu (2nd)





Photo-desorption coefficients of Cu, Ta, and Au-coated Ta. Ta and Au-coating have a sufficiently low photo-desorption coefficient. (At PF BL21, $\varepsilon_{cr} = 4$ keV, by Y. Tanimoto)

Vacuum around IR Consideration on IR pressure

•At least, the pressure around the IP (\pm 5m) must not affect the overall average design pressure of the ring (1×10⁻⁷ Pa). Therefore the target pressure in this region must be less than 1 × 10⁻⁷ × 3000/10 =3 × 10⁻⁵ Pa. A consideration on the beam-gas Rutherford scattering requires much lower pressure in the QC magnets where β is large.

• The main gas source in this region is photon-desorbed gas due to the direct SR from the last bend. If a photo-desorption coefficient η is assumed to be 1×10^{-6} molecules/photon, The average pressure of this region will be a few $\times 10^{-6}$ Pa.

•It was shown that Ta and Au-coating have a sufficiently low photo-desorption coefficient. It was also shown Ta has a very low thermal outgassing rate.

•Still we are looking for an idea to improve IP pressure.

IP Chamber Cut View



Electron

IP Chamber Design feature



IP Chamber R&D

• Done

- Stress analysis
 - For the temperature difference between the two tubes at the center.
 - Changing support position of beam pipe
- Fabrication test
 - Precise machining of Be pipes
 - NC machining of ridges
 - Be-Ti brazing
 - Ti-Ta HIP
 - EBW near by HIP
 - Pulsed sputter coating of Cu inside 2 cm Al pipe and its uniformity check
- To be done (in preparation)
 - Stress analysis
 - Check the strength under dynamic force
 - Fabrication test
 - Au coating on Ti pipe
 - Effect of EBW on the coating
 - Simulation welding between inner and outer Ti pipes
- Ta part will be fabricated in FY 2013 and the first version of IP chamber will appear within FY 2013

IP Chamber Cooling



IP Chamber Are steep ridges permissible?





Since the height of the ridge is only 0.5 mm, structures of impedance due to the sharpness of ridges appears in higher frequencies than a 6mm bunch spectrum.

Steep ridges are OK. (K. Shibata, Y.H. Chin)

IP Chamber Tip scattering on a ridge T. Isl

T. Ishibashi, et al



CHESS (Cornell Univ.) G2 beam line Photon energy : 9 keV Slit : 2 mm (H) by 0.05 mm (V) Detector angular resolution : 0.2 degree (FWHM)

Ratio of the scattered photon. The slope of the taper inside the pipe is 1.23 degree.

Radiation shield Phase 2





There are two major radiation sources in the interaction region. One is the LER horizontal collimator (upstream) to cut the halo created by Touschek effect. The other is the downstream LER beam pipes hit by offmomentum positrons and gamma rays due to the radiative Bhabha process. (Y. Funakoshi, Y. Ohnishi)

The present design seems insufficient to keep the experimental hall free from radiation. (H. Nakayama and T. Sanami). Further investigation is

necessary.

Radiation shield Phase 1



Now on-going estimation of the beam loss at phase 1 suggests this structure is sufficient for Phase 1. (Y. Ohnishi, T. Sanami)



Summary

- With the progress of the construction of SuperKEKB, the number of tasks to be solved for the interaction region is increasing.
- Among them,
 - We must make decision on the bridge reinforcement as soon as possible.
 - The choice of the installation scenario has verious side effects. It cannot be delayed so long.
- And,
 - We are still looking for an idea to improve IP pressure.