



Interaction Region Construction towards Phase 2

21th KEKB Accelerator Review Committee KEK, 13-15 June 2016 Ken-ichi Kanazawa for KEKB Vacuum Group IR Technical Meeting Member IR Installation Meeting Member SVD/IR Mechanics Meeting Member

Contents

- Outline from Phase 1 to Phase 2
- Phase 2 hardware
 - QCS
 - Moving stage
 - BEAST II
 - IP chamber
 - Bellows unit
 - QCS beam pipe
 - RVC
 - Concrete shield
- Issues relating to IR vacuum
- Summary

Outline from Phase 1 to Phase 2 Phase 1 IR with concrete shields



Outline from Phase 1 to Phase 2 Phase 1 IR without concrete shields



IP chambers for Phase 1

Outline from Phase 1 to Phase 2 Schematic drawing



		Magnata and has an river a survey ID					
2016	End of Jun – Jul	Magnets and beam pipes around IP are removed except the Moving Stage-L	Magne	MS-L ts	Phase 1 IP beam pipes	Magnets	Outline
	1-Aug	QCSL installation	MS-L	QCSL			from
	Aug – Oct	QCSL alignment MS-R installation		MS-L	QCSL	MS-R	Phase 1 to
	Nov	QCSL cooldown, QCSR installation		MS-L	QCSL	QCSR MS-R	Phase 2
	Nov	QCSR alignment		MS-L	QCSL QCSR	MS-R	
	Dec	QCS move−out Belle roll−in	MS-L	QCSL	Belle	QCSR MS-R	
	Jan	QCS move-in					
2017	Feb	QCSR cool down		MS-L	QCSL QCSR	MS-R	
	Mar – Jun	QCSL cool down, Field measurement			Belle		
	Jul – Aug	QCS move-out BEAST II installation RVC installation QCS beam pipe installation	MS-L	QCSL	BEAST II Belle	QCSR MS-R	
	Sep – Oct	QCS move−in RVC connection Magnets and beam pipes return.	Magne	MS-L ts	QCSL BEAST QCSR Belle	MS-R Magnets	

Phase 2 hardware **QCSL**



Phase 2 hardware Installation of the Moving Stage (L side)



Making a flat floor



Set base plates



Install Moving stage







Setting linear guides

The moving stage for R side had already been fabricated. It will be installed at IR early September (2016), after floor work.

H. Yamaoka

Phase 2 hardware BEAST II



Phase 2 hardware IP chamber: Design feature



Negligible trap of HOM at the central part.

Only taper parts are exposed to direct synchrotron radiation from the last bend.

Taper: to reduce the number of photons entering into the central part

Ridges: to keep the direction of scattered photons away from Be



Phase 2 hardware IP chamber









•The central straight part consists of double tube. Paraffin runs between them.

Outer Be: 0.4 mm thickInner Be: 0.6 mm thickGap: 1 mm

The IP chamber for Phase 2 is completed. The IP chamber for Phase 3 is needed before September 2017 when the assembly of VXD starts. Therefore, without feedback from Phase 2 experiences, the next chamber for Phase 3 must be fabricated.

Phase 2 hardware Bellows unit between IP chamber and QCS



This unit will be fabricated during 2016 Sep – 2017 May .

Phase 2 hardware Beam pipes for QCS

QCSL positron pipe was fabricated in FY 2015. Other three will be fabricated till June 2017.



Beam pipe with a 4 mm thick wall, and with water cooling channels therein. Inside: Cu (+TiN) coated.

Phase 2 hardware **RVC**

AIM with RVC was approved at 18th B2GM (18-21 June 2014). Emergency procedure when RVC is stuck was agreed.

- Left-hand side: Make a space for hand access by removing some electronics of CDC, and detach RVC from QCSL.
- Right-hand side: Pull out VXD with QCSR.



The second (~ final) product and their test mock-up.







The first mock-up to valid the mechanism.



Pictures from the RVC-test-setup at DESY (Hamburg)

The following slides show how RVC works.

Picture 14 -19: How RVC works.





Karsten Gadow | KEK&DESY EVO Meeting | 01.06.2012 | Page 15









Karsten Gadow | KEK&DESY EVO Meeting | 01.06.2012 | Page 17

Cylinder for dry N₂ (about 50 bar)

These components shift to press the bellows flange to the cryostat.







This large screw nut turns to lock the mechanism.



Karsten Gadow | KEK&DESY EVO Meeting | 01.06.2012 | Page 19





Karsten Gadow | KEK&DESY EVO Meeting | 01.06.2012 | Page 20

Phase 2 hardware RVC: scheduling

2015 Now(February) (KEK Vacuum group and Belle-II group)

- Boundary conditions related to the QCS cryostat is nearly fixed.
- Space allocation around the right-hand side RVC is still under discussion. This will be discussed 8-9 May by Belle-II Members.

2015 June – December (KEK and DESY)

- Design of RVC (DESY) \rightarrow Nearly complete (DESY)
- Design of the bellows unit (KEK/DESY) \rightarrow Not finished (KEK)
- Design of the front cap of QCS (KEK/DESY) \rightarrow Not finished (KEK)

2016 (DESY and KEK)

- Fabrication and Laboratory test of RVC (DESY) \rightarrow In progress (DESY)
- Fabrication of the bellows unit (KEK/DESY) \rightarrow 2016 Sep 2017 May (KEK)
- Fabrication of the front cap of QCS (KEK/DESY) \rightarrow 2016 Sep 2017 May (KEK)

2017 March – April (before Phase 2)(KEK and DESY) \rightarrow 2017 July

• Installation of RVC

Phase 2 hardware Concrete shield for Phase 2 and 3



1. Floor block to fill a gap between the accelerator floor and the detector. This was set March 2014.

> 2. A concrete to reduce the front aperture of the gate shield. The frontend block of the gate shield will be modified to attach this concrete (2017).

5. 80 cm thick concrete wall to cover the gap between the accelerator tunnel ceiling and the gate shield (2015).

Phase 2 hardware MARS simulation



•Beam loss estimation: Y. Ohnishi, Y. Funakoshi

•Simulation: T. Sanami

•Condition: Full spec (Phase 3)

Requirement: Warning area (less than $1.5 \mu Sv/h$) during Phase 2

Since <u>the target luminosity in</u> <u>Phase 2 is 1/80 of that in Phase 3</u>, The requirement will be satisfied. If necessary, we will add a local shield around the radiation source.

Issues relating to IR vacuum Vacuum system of IR



24

Issues relating to IR vacuum Pressure distribution



Thanks to J. Carter (ANL), M. Ady, R. Kersevan, and P. Chiggiato (CERN)

Issues relating to IR vacuum Pressure distribution

- With the simulation software MolFlow and SynRad, a pressure distribution around IP was estimated.
- The result is consistent with our previous rough estimation.
- Though the effect of this locally high pressure on the average pressure is not significant, a careful consideration on possible other effects is necessary.

Issues relating to IR vacuum Electron cloud in QC1RP

- To evaluate the electron cloud density around IR is a recommendation at the last KEKB Accelerator Review Committee.
- J. A. Crittenden pointed out in the IPAC2015 paper (TUPTY081) that if photon scattering is considered, the number of synchrotron light photon incident on the QC1RP chamber is 30 times larger compared with the case of no photon scattering. This suggests a high electron cloud density in the QC1RP chamber. And combined with a large vertical beta-function in QC1RP, this local cloud may become a serious source of the beam blow-up. (TUPTY080)
- The cross check of this claim is not yet completed.
- The positron beam pipes for QCS cryostat will be TiN coated.
- Continuous effort to understand the electron cloud in the final focus magnets in necessary.

Summary

- Outline from Phase 1 to Phase 2 is explained.
- Hardware for Phase 2 is prepared according to the operational schedule.
- The IP chamber for Phase 2 is completed. However, the next chamber for Phase 3 must be prepared without feedback from Phase 2 experiences.
- The effect of a high pressure at IP and the electron cloud in QCS should be carefully studied.